4. Article Two, B.5- Water Quality Monitoring ("WQM") Plan – Report on whether any of the semi-annual (or annual) WQM required under Article Two, B.5, was conducted during the quarter, and if so, in which sewer basins, and report the results of that monitoring (both BST and fecal coliform) for each such sewer basin.

The 2011 annual round of water quality monitoring was conducted on March 29, 2011. All 26 of the sewer basins were sampled (semi-annual and annual). Human source fecal bacteria were identified in one or more samples from four sewer basins. Results are presented below.

As part of a periodic re-evaluation of the Water Quality Monitoring Plan and of the methodologies used, the Virginia Tech laboratory that provides the Bacterial Source Tracking (BST) testing services has conducted a library-independent test for human Bacteroides gene on over 25% (15 of 51 samples) of the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) tested samples, including all those that reported measurable human source percentages by PCR. These results are presented below.

A limited round of water quality samples from four stations in the Anacostia River watershed, was collected on June 14, 2011. Quarterly sampling from one station in each of four sewer basins is supplemental to the annual and semi-annual sampling program. Results will be reported in the Third Quarter of 2011.

Basins Subject to Semi-Annual Monitoring and Reporting Requirements:

Broad Creek-Fecal Bacteria Results: a. **BST Results:** (Enterococcus CFU/100ml)

BRC001 – downstream (Henson Creek)	Too few bacteria for BST source determination	<10
BRC002 – upstream (Henson Creek)	Too few bacteria for BST source determination	<10

b. Cabin John-Fecal Bacteria Results: **BST Results:** (Enterococcus CFU/100ml) **Human – 0% (0%)** Avian – 41% (42%) Canine – 5% (5%) CBJ001 – downstream 90 (88) Deer – 15% (13%) Misc. Wildlife – 23% (24%) Non-human Unknown – 16% (16%) Bacteroides - Negative Too few bacteria for BST source CBJ002 – upstream <10 determination Bacteroides – Negative

Note: Values in parentheses for station CBJ001 are for field duplicate sample.

c. Horsepen-

Fecal Bacteria Results:

c. morsepen-		r ccai Daciella Nesulis.
	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
	Human – 16%	
	Avian – 32%	
	Canine – 7%	
HSP001 – downstream	Deer – 11%	275
	Horse – 12%	
	Misc. Wildlife – 13%	
	Non-human Unknown – 9%	
	Bacteroides – Positive	
UCD002 unstraam	Too few bacteria for BST sourc	e (10 (10)
HSP002 – upstream	determination	<10 (<10)
	Bacteroides – Negative	

Note: Value in parentheses for station HSP002 is for field duplicate sample.

d. Indian Creek-

Fecal Bacteria Results:

BST Results: (Enterococcus CFU/100ml)

	Dot Results. (L	emierococcus Cro/10011
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 35%	
	Canine – 9%	
INC001 – downstream	Deer –9%	25
	Horse – 17%	
	Misc. Wildlife – 17%	
	Non-human Unknown – 13%	
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 30%	
	Canine – 11%	
INC002 – upstream	Deer – 14%	28
	Horse – 13%	
	Misc. Wildlife – 23%	
	Non-human Unknown – 9%	

e. Little Falls-

Fecal Bacteria Results:

	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100m
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 29%	
LESOO1 downstresses	Canine – 11%	42
LFS001 – downstream	Deer – 13%	43
	Misc. Wildlife – 24%	
	Non-human Unknown – 23%	
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 33%	
LFS002 – upstream	Canine – 9%	20
	Deer – 12%	20
	Misc. Wildlife – 27%	
	Non-human Unknown – 19%	

f. Lower Anacostia-

Fecal Bacteria Results:

I. Lower Anacostia-		r ecai Bacteria Results:
	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 47%	
ANA001 – downstream	Canine – 8%	53
ANAOO1 – downstream	Deer – 12%	33
	Misc. Wildlife – 19%	
	Non-human Unknown – 14%	
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 43%	
ANA002 – upstream	Canine – 12%	15
	Deer – 10%	
	Misc. Wildlife – 14%	
	Non-human Unknown – 21%	

g. Lower Beaverdam Creek-

Fecal Bacteria Results:

BST Results: (*Enterococcus* CFU/100ml)

	Do I Results.	(2,,,,	rococcus CI Crioom
	Human – 7%		
	Avian – 37%		
LBD001 – downstream	Canine – 12%		148
LBD001 – downstream	Deer – 8%		140
	Misc. Wildlife – 21%		
	Non-human Unknown – 15%		
	Bacteroides – Positive		
	Human – 13%		
	Avian – 34%		
LBD002 – upstream	Canine – 17%		1 025
	Deer – 11%		1,025
	Misc. Wildlife – 17%		
	Non-human Unknown – 8%		
	Bacteroides – Positive		

h. Muddy Branch-

Fecal Bacteria Results:

	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 43%	
MDB001 – downstream	Canine – 10%	40
	Deer – 9%	40
	Misc. Wildlife – 21%	
	Non-human Unknown – 17%	
MDB002 – upstream	Too few bacteria for BST source	ce 15 (<10)
	determination	15 (<10)

Note: Value in parentheses for station MDB002 is for field duplicate sample.

i. **Northeast Branch-**

Fecal Bacteria Results:

	BST Results: (E.	nterococcus CFU/100ml)
NED001 unstraam	Too few bacteria for BST source	<10
NEB001 – upstream	determination	<10
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 37%	
NEB002 – downstream	Canine – 12%	15
	Deer – 14%	
	Misc. Wildlife – 24%	

Non-human Unknown – 13%

Northwest Branchj.

Fecal Bacteria Results:

ST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
) I Itcsuits.	(Linerococcus Ci O/100mi)

•	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100m
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 35%	
NWA001 – downstream	Canine – 11%	20
NWA001 – downstream	Deer – 16%	20
	Misc. Wildlife – 22%	
	Non-human Unknown – 16%	
	Bacteroides – Negative	
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 39%	
NIVA 002 un stra sur	Canine – 7%	25
NWA002 – upstream	Deer – 12%	25
	Misc. Wildlife – 27%	
	Non-human Unknown – 15%	
	Bacteroides – Negative	

Oxon Runk.

Fecal Bacteria Results:

k. Oxon Run-	F	ecal Bacteria Results:
	BST Results: (E	Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
	Human – 0% (0%)	
	Avian – 44% (41%)	
OXN001 – downstream	Canine – 14% (13%)	243 (315)
OXNOO1 – downstream	Deer – 9% (12%)	243 (313)
	Misc. Wildlife – 20% (19%)	
	Non-human Unknown – 13% (15	%)
	Bacteroides – Negative (Negative	2)
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 46%	
OXN002 – upstream	Canine – 10%	70
(Watts Branch)	Deer – 11%	70
	Misc. Wildlife – 27%	
	Non-human Unknown – 6%	
	Bacteroides – Negative	

Note: Values in parentheses for station OXN001 are for field duplicate sample.

l. **Paint Branch-**

Fecal Bacteria Results:

	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 42%	
	Canine – 14%	
PNT001 – downstream	Deer – 15%	35
	Horse – 6%	
	Misc. Wildlife – 14%	
	Non-human Unknown – 9%	
DNITOO2	Too few bacteria for BST source	e <10
PNT002 – upstream	determination	<10

Parkwaym.

Fecal Bacteria Results:

1110 1 41111143		i cemi bucteria resurts.
	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
	Human – 5%	
	Avian – 45%	
PKY001 – downstream	Canine – 12%	93
(Bear Branch)	Deer – 12%	93
	Misc. Wildlife – 19%	
	Non-human Unknown – 7%	
	Bacteroides – Negative	
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 39%	
PKY002 – upstream	Canine – 13%	20
(Walker Branch)	Deer – 9%	30
	Misc. Wildlife – 21%	
	Non-human Unknown – 18%	
	Bacteroides – Negative	

n. Piscataway-

Fecal Bacteria Results:

	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 46%	
PSW001 – downstream	Canine – 10%	58
PS WOOT – downstream	Deer – 14%	36
	Misc. Wildlife – 19%	
	Non-human Unknown – 11%	
DCW/002 unatroom	Too few bacteria for BST source	e c10
PSW002 – upstream	determination	<10

Rock Creek-0.

Fecal Bacteria Results:

	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 39%	
RKC001 – downstream	Canine – 7%	60
	Deer – 15%	
	Misc. Wildlife – 22%	

	Non-human Unknown – 17%	
RKC002 – upstream	Too few bacteria for BST source determination	<10

Seneca Creekp.

Fecal Bacteria Results:

BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)	
Coo form bootomic for DCT as		

SNC001 – downstream	Too few bacteria for BST source determination	<10
SNC002 – upstream	Human – 0% Avian – 37% Canine – 9% Deer – 13% Misc. Wildlife – 18% Non-human Unknown – 23%	28

Sligo Creekq.

Fecal Bacteria Results:

1 8	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml
	Human – 7%	
	Avian – 33%	
SLC001 – downstream	Canine – 9%	825
SLC001 – downstream	Deer – 14%	823
	Misc. Wildlife – 19%	
	Non-human Unknown – 18%	
	Bacteroides – Negative	
	Human – 0%	
	Avian – 39%	
SI C002 unstraam	Canine – 11%	38
SLC002 – upstream	Deer – 9%	36
	Misc. Wildlife – 21%	
	Non-human Unknown – 20%	
	Bacteroides – Negative	

Upper Beaverdam Creekr.

Fecal Bacteria Results:

BST Results: (Enterococcus CFU/100ml)

UBD001 – downstream	Too few bacteria for BST source determination	<10
UBD002 – upstream	Too few bacteria for BST source determination	<10

S. Watts Branch-

Fecal Bacteria Results:

BST Results:		(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
	To a farry bastonia for DCT as	

WTB001 – downstream	Too few bacteria for BST source determination	<10
WTB002 – upstream	Too few bacteria for BST source determination	<10

t. Western Branch-		Fecal	l Bacteria Results:		
			BST Results:	(Ente	rococcus CFU/100ml)
	WND	001 downstroom	Too few bacteria for BST source	ce	<10

WNB	001 – downstream	Too few bacteria for BST source determination	<10
WNR	WNB002 – upstream	Too few bacteria for BST source	<10
WNB002 – upstream	determination	<10	

Basins Subject to Annual Monitoring and Reporting Requirements-

a. Dulles Interceptor	Fecal Bacteria Results:	
_	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100ml)
DSI001	Too few bacteria for BST sourc determination	e <10
b. Mattawoman-		Fecal Bacteria Results:

_	BST Results:	(Enterococcus CFU/100m	ıl)
MTW001	Too few bacteria for BST sourc determination	ce 17	

c. Monacacy- Fecal Bacteria Results			Bacteria Results:
	BST Results:	(Ente	rococcus CFU/100ml)
MCY001	Too few bacteria for BST sour	ce	<10 (<10)
1,101	determination		(10)

d. Patuxent Center-		Fecal :	Bacteria Results:	
	BST Results:	(Enter	ococcus CFU/100	ml)
PTC001	Too few bacteria for BST source	ce	<10	
(Mill Branch)	determination		<10	

e. Patuxent North-		Fecal	Bacteria Results:
	BST Results:	(Ente	rococcus CFU/100ml)
PTN001	Too few bacteria for BST sourc	e	<10
(Hawlings River)	determination		<10

f	Rock Run-		Fecal	l Bacteria Results:
		BST Results:	(Ente	rococcus CFU/100ml)
	RCM001	Too few bacteria for BST source determination	e	<10

OTHER MATTERS TO BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF EPA, MDE AND THE CITIZENS GROUPS:

As indicated in the text under Article Two, B.5- Water Quality Monitoring (WQM) Plan, under the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) testing protocol for the approved WQM Plan, human source bacteria were identified in one or more samples from four sewer basins. In light of the rapid evolution of Bacterial Source Tracking (BST) technologies, the WQM Plan recommends a periodic re-evaluation of the results of the PCR testing protocol and the methodologies being used. The Virginia Tech laboratory that provides the BST testing services, and which is at the forefront of BST methods development, has recently noted that the current library-based PCR testing protocol may, from time to time, result in false positives where reported human percentage allocations are below 10%. In order to ensure that WSSC receives and presents the most accurate analysis of the presence of human source bacteria, the Virginia Tech laboratory performed additional library-independent human Bacteroides gene testing on a subset of the March 2011 samples. This additional testing revealed false positives in two of the basins sampled, Parkway and Sligo Creek, where the reported percentage allocations were 5% and 7%, respectively. Consequently, application of this additional testing for the Second Quarter of 2011 leads to the conclusion that human source bacteria were identified in one or more samples from only two sewer basins.